IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

WEDNESDAY, THE 3RD JANUARY 2007 / 13TH POUSHA 1928

WP(C).No. 349 of 2007(N)

PETITIONER:

VALSAN MADATHIL,
MADATHIL HOUSE, CHOWWA P.O., KANNUR TALUK,
KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.GEORGE POONTHOTTAM

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL EDUCATION, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, TRIVANDRUM.**

2. **THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

3. **THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION, KANNUR.**

4. **THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, KANNUR.**

5. **THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, THALIPARAMBA.**

6. **E.N.CHANDRAN, E.N.HOUSE, ACHAMPEEDIKA P.O., KALLIASSERRY, KANNUR DISTRICT.**

R1 TO R5 BY GOVT. PLEADER (SHRI A. J. VARGHESE)

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 03/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K. M. JOSEPH, J.

W.P.C. NO. 349 OF 2007 N

Dated this the 3rd January, 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioner challenges Ext.P6. He has preferred Ext.P7 Appeal purporting to be under sub-rule (2) of Rule 5A of Chapter III KER. He has also filed Ext.P8 petition for stay. It is stated that no order has been passed on his interim application. Heard the learned Government Pleader also. In the circumstances, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the first respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law on Ext.P8 within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this Judgment. In view of the fact that I have not issued notice to sixth respondent, I further make it clear that in the event of any interim order being passed without hearing the sixth respondent, it will certainly be open to the sixth respondent to seek to vacate or modify such order. I leave open the contentions of the petitioner.

K. M. JOSEPH, JUDGE

kbk.